74. बुलदाना
75. अकोला
76. पुणे
77. अहमदनगर
78. सांगली
79. शोलापुर
80. बीड
81. औरंगाबाद

उड़ीसा

82. कोरापुट
83. मयूरभंज
84. कटक
85. सम्बलपुर
86. सुन्दरगढ़
87. कालाहांडी
88. क्योंझर
89. गंजम
90. फूलबनी

राजस्थान

91. उदयपुर
92. बांसवाडा
93. डुंगरपुर
94. सवाईमाधोपुर
95. बाडमेर
96. अजमेर

तमिलनाडु

97. द० आरकोट
98. चंगेलपट्टु
99. तजावर
100. धर्मपुरी
101. चिदम्बरनार
102. रामनाथपुरम

उत्तर प्रदेश

103. इलाहाबाद
104. सीतापुर
105. गाजीपुर
106. हरदोई
107. सोनभद्र
108. बलिया
109. मिर्जापुर
110. पिथौरागढ़
111. पौड़ी गढ़वाल
112. अलमोडा
113. बांदा
114. बहराइच

प० बंगाल

115. मेदनीपुर
116. कूचबिहार
117. बर्धमान
118. बाकुरा
119. पुरूलिया
120. बीरभूम

Targets set under JRY in Gujarat

4841. SHRI SANGH PRIYA GAUTAM: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the district-wise target, both physical and financial, set under the JRY in Gujarat during the last three years, particularly for Saurashtra and Kachh regions;

(b) how far these targets have been achieved;

(c) whether the programme evaluation organisation of the Planning Commission has made any study of JRY; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL AREAS & EMPLOYMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI UTTAMBHAI H. PATEL): (a) and (b) The information on district-wise target, both physical & financial, under JRY, is not maintained at the Central level. However, for the State of Gujarat, the targets fixed and achieved during the last 3 years is as given in Statement (*see* below).

(c) and (d) The programme evaluation organisation of Planning Commission made a quick study (on a sample basis) of JRY in 1992 in 10 States, namely, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Karnataka, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh & West Bengal. The main findings of the study are given in Statement-II.

Statement-I

STATE : GUJARAT

I. JAWAHAR ROJGAR YOJANA:

Year	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95
Targets (lakh mandays)	236.73	211.40	177.45
Achievements (lakh mandays)	235.03	210.55	142.41
Expenditure (Rs. in lakhs)	8327.77	10533.51	8161.85 (upto Feb., 1995)

II. INTENSIFIED—JRY:

Year	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95
Targets (lakh mandays)	—	No targets fixed	63.04
Achievements (lakh mandays)	—	22.09	51.21
Expenditure (Rs. in lakhs)	—	1182.44	2650.08 (upto Feb., 95)

Satement-II

Main findings of the Quick Study of JRY conducted by Programme Evaluation Organisation (PEO) of the Planning Commission in 1992.

— The share of SCs/STs in employment generation was more than 50%

— the share of women in the employment generation was only 22-25% at the district level and 15-18% at the Village Panchayat level.

— The Yojana did not provide employment to the extent expected as an average number of days a person got employment was 11.44 days during 1989-90, 15.68 days in 1990-91 and 12.8 days during 1991-92 (upto Sep., 1991).

— Adequate attendtion was not given to the maintenance of assets.

— Some of the Village Panchayats did not utilise the funds provided to them.

— 89%of the selected beneficiaries expressed that assets created were useful.

The Contractors were also engaged by some of the Gram Panchayats for execution of some works.

Consultation with NIRD in Formulation of Foreign Assistance Schemes

4842. SHRI V. RAJAN CHELLAPPA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether National Institute of Rural Development (NIRD) is consulted in formulating foreign assistance schemes;

(b) in what situations the NIRD is consulted; and

(c) how often NIRD has been consulted in recent times by Government for advice and suggestions and opinions in foreign assistance matters?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL AREAS & EMPLOYMENT (DEPARTMENT OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT) (SHRI UTTAMBHAI H. PATEL): (a) No, Sir. There is no proposal for formulating foreign assistance scheme. Hence question of consulting NIRD does not arise.

(b) and (c) Does not arise.

Storage of Drinking Water in States

4843. DR. BAPU KALDATE: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that shortage of drinking water is being faced in many States of the country;

(b) if so, what steps Government have taken to ease the situation;

(c) the States mainly affected;

(d) the number of villages provided with clean drinking water facilities during the last three years; and

(e) by when all the remaining villages would be covered for providing drinking water?